



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,029	06/08/2005	Mauri Hillo	3397-139PUS	8918
27799	7590	03/17/2009	EXAMINER	
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP			PRAKASAM, RAMYA G	
551 FIFTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1210				3651
NEW YORK, NY 10176				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/538,029	Applicant(s) HILLO, MAURI
	Examiner RAMYA PRAKASAM	Art Unit 3651

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 November 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 11-41 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 16,17,25 and 28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 11-15, 17, 18, 20-24, 26, 27, and 29-41 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on 11/25/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 21-24, 26, 27, and 29, 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shyr (U.S. Patent No. 5,743,375).

Shyr discloses a conveyor for transporting a roll set, comprising:

- A conveyor belt or chain running about driving and tail pulleys (See Figure 2), the conveyor belt or chain being configured to support a roll set on a top surface of the conveyor belt or chain at a base level in a first section of a transport path between the drive and tail pulleys (See Figure 2);
- An elevating roll assembly at a second section of the transport path (72, 76 – See Figure 8) positioned below the conveyor belt or chain, the elevating roll assembly being operable to raise a portion of the top surface of the conveyor belt or chain to form an elevation above the remaining portion of the top surface of the conveyor belt or chain, the elevation being configured to separate the rolls of the roll set from each other when the rolls of the roll set are transported by the conveyor belt or chain over the elevation (See Figure 8 – the portion passing over the elevated portion of 74 will be raised).

- Wherein the elevating roll assembly comprises at least one rotatably mounted elevating roll (See Figure 8).
- Wherein the elevating roll assembly comprises two elevating rolls adapted to operate in succession along a travel direction of the conveyor (See Figure 8).
- Wherein the at least one elevating roll is a polygonal elevating roll (See Figures 1 and 8).
- Wherein the at least one elevating roll is a roll rotating eccentrically (See Figure 8).
- A means for adjusting the elevation (See Figure 8 – as the rolls rotate around the sprocket, the elevation is adjusted).
- Wherein the elevating roll comprises two wheels (See Figure 8) and a spacer member (See Figure 7 – connection between the two weels), one of the two wheels being on each side of a longitudinal center line of the conveyor belt or chain, the two wheels being rotatably supported with as the spacer member mounted therebetween (See Figures 7 and 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 11-15, 17, 18, 20 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shyr in view of Ruohio (U.S. Patent No. 5,655,425).

Shyr discloses a method for separating articles traveling on a conveyor from each other, the conveyor comprising a conveyor belt or chain running about driving and tail pulleys (See Figure 2), comprising:

- Transporting the article on a top surface of said conveyor belt or chain at a base level in a first section of a transport path between the driving and tail pulleys (See Column 2, lines 16-24);
- Forming an elevation in a second section of the transport path, the elevation comprising a raised portion of the conveyor belt or chain arriving at the second section of the transport path; and
- Separating the rolls from each other when the rolls of the roll set are transported over the elevation by the conveyor belt or chain (See Figure 8 – the portion passing over the elevated portion of 74 will be raised).
- Wherein the elevation is formed with an elevating roll assembly adapted to operate below the top surface of the conveyor belt or chain and comprising at least one rotating elevating roll (See Figure 8).
- Wherein a height of elevation between the top surface of a base level of the conveyor belt or chain and a top level of the elevation is adjusted during operation of the conveyor, the base level of the conveyor being a level of the conveyor upstream of the elevation (See Figure 8 – as the rolls rotate around the sprocket, the elevation is adjusted).
- Wherein at least one of the at least one elevating roll is a polygonal elevating roll (See Figures 1 and 8).

- Wherein at least one of the at least one elevating roll is a roll rotating eccentrically (See Figure 8).

Shyr, however, does not explicitly disclose that the articles be rolls in a roll set.

Ruohio discloses the transport of rolls in a roll set for the purpose of manufacturing rolls at paper mills (See Column 1, lines 14-50). It would have been obvious to utilize the method in Shyr to transport rolls in a rolls set for the purpose of manufacturing rolls at paper mills.

6. Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shyr in view of Santandrea (U.S. Patent No. 5,060,780).

Shyr discloses all claimed limitations (See Above), except for a conveyor wherein a height difference between the top surface of a base level of the conveyor and the top level of the conveyor is 2 to 6mm. Santandrea discloses a conveyor wherein a height difference between the top surface of a base level of the conveyor and the top level of the conveyor is 2 to 6mm (See Column 23, lines 3-17) for the purpose of creating a small enough distance such that waste materials would not be found between the conveyed item and the base of the conveyor (See Column 23, lines 17-22). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Shyr by utilizing a conveyor wherein a height difference between the top surface of a base level of the conveyor and the top level of the conveyor is 2 to 6mm for the purpose of creating a small enough distance such that waste materials would not be found between the conveyed item and the base of the conveyor.

7. Claims 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shyr.

Shyr discloses all claimed limitations, except that the length of the elevation explicitly be is 150 to 250mm. A change in the size of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). It would be obvious to change the length of elevation to 150 to 250mm in order to change the design of the conveyor.

8. Claims 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shyr in view of Santandrea.

Shyr in view of Santandrea discloses all claimed limitations, except that the length of the elevation explicitly be is 150 to 250mm. A change in the size of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). It would be obvious to change the length of elevation to 150 to 250mm in order to change the design of the conveyor.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/25/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

10. With regards to applicant's argument that Shyr does disclose separating the rolls from each other when the rolls of the roll set are transported over the elevation by the conveyor belt, it is clear that the upper chain portion is elevated in relation to the other parts of the chain, as seen in Figure 8. As they are elevated, each article is separated from the other, even if it is to a small degree. The claims do not dictate a degree of

separation. A separation is in fact found in Shyr, and therefore, this limitation is disclosed.

11. With regards to applicant's argument that the elevating roll assembly is not positioned below the conveyor belt or chain, it is clear that the rolls that elevate and lower the articles are in fact situated below the chain (See Figure 7). Further, the assembly raises a portion of the top surface of the chain to form an elevation above the remaining portion of the top surface of the conveyor belt as seen in Figure 7. 76 serves to raise the chain to a level higher than the portions that are not at 76. The elevation is above the remaining portion of the top surface, as seen in Figure 7. Therefore, this limitation is disclosed.

12. For the foregoing reasons, the claims stand rejected.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMYA PRAKASAM whose telephone number is (571)272-6011. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571)272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gene Crawford/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 3651

3/15/2009
RGP